MAP EXPLANATION

This map depicts a direct reclassification of the surficial geologic map of this area (House and others, 2006) into a series of relative flood hazard classes. The flood hazard classes are qualitative and are not intended to explicitly represent or supplant administrative or regulatory flood zone boundaries. They do not have specific implications of flow depths and velocities. The hazard zones depict the loci and spatial variability of flood hazard zones as inferred from geologic evidence of relative flow frequency, vigor, surface stability, and landform type (see House, 2005). Each classification represents a composite of physical properties related to surface age, stability, and geomorphic position that form the basis of the geologic map. The classification scheme generally represents a conservative interpretation of the geologic data.

Relative flood hazard classes

VERY HIGH Areas of the most frequent and concentrated runoff or prolonged inundation including well-defined active channels; broad, gravelly, and sparsely vegetated zones of intricate distributary flow networks on active alluvial fans; alluvial fan feeder channels; local trunk drainages; and playas (shown with a stipple pattern). Processes include high-velocity, channelized flow and high-velocity sheetflow on piedmont drainages and playa perimeters. Channel boundaries and positions are generally unstable and may shift considerably during and between large flows. Central playa areas are not subject to high velocity flows, but do experience extensive, shallow inundation by standing water on a regular basis. Playa perimeters are subject to flooding from the toes of adjacent, active alluvial fans and channels. Processes of sediment erosion, transport, and deposition in these areas are vigorous and involve particle sizes ranging from coarse gravel (boulders and cobbles) to sand and silt. Corresponding surface morphology includes prominent alluvial channels, fresh gravel bars, and relatively flat gravel sheets in broad distributary flow areas and playa-fan interface areas. Gravel pavements, rock varnish, and soil development absent or weak on surfaces in this class. Geologic deposits and surfaces in this class are Modern to late Holocene in age (0 to approximately 4000 years).

Washes and fans draining high-relief parts of the Lucy Gray Range, the McCullough Range (northern and southern parts), and parts of the southern Spring Mountains (in particular the Table Mountain and Potosi Mountain areas) are characterized by boulder-rich flood and debris flow deposits. Elsewhere in the study area, debris flows and boulder-rich flood deposits are typically restricted to tributary washes and fans draining high-relief mountain interior or mountain front areas.

Geologic evidence indicates this class conveys dangerous floods and poses a very significant floodplain management concern.

HIGH Areas of frequent, concentrated to widespread, relatively unconfined runoff. Commonly adjacent to and linked with areas mapped in the preceding class. Includes large areas of diffuse 'very high' hazard-type zones too intricate to divide. This class includes active and intermittently active alluvial fan areas, low-lying channel-bounding terraces, and parts of playa perimeters. Class includes areas that are vulnerable to overflow and reoccupation by active channel networks. Sediment characteristics similar to areas mapped in 'very high' hazard class. These areas have a high potential to convey flow during large floods because of their proximity to highly active alluvial surfaces and because their relatively young age and low relief precludes a lower hazard determination. Channel and flow-swath boundaries and positions are generally unstable. Morphology characterized by relatively fresh bar and channel to slightly weathered bar and swale complexes; relatively flat-lying terrace surfaces adjacent to active channels, and relatively flat gravel sheets in playa fringe areas. Gravel pavements are rare but may be present on inclusions of small, older surface remnants and locally in low-lying swales. Light rock varnish may be present on siliceous surface clasts and soil development ranges from none to weak (House and others, 2006). Geologic deposits in this class range from Modern to at least late Holocene (0 to approximately 4000 years).

Geologic evidence indicates this class has high potential to convey dangerous flows during large flood events. It poses a significant floodplain management concern.

MODERATE Areas of intricately mixed, highly active alluvial surfaces; intermittently active or recently abandoned alluvial surfaces; and dispersed remnants of stable alluvial surfaces too small to map. Includes active and recently abandoned (last 100 to few 1000s of years) alluvial surfaces, distal areas of overflow from active surfaces, and some active alluvial surfaces fed by small drainage areas. Includes stable distributary flow networks and areas of shallow sheetflow. Classification does not preclude hazardous condition but indicates that flow is generally less frequent, less intense, less recently occurring, or that the distribution of different geologic units is too fine to map at this scale. Channel boundaries and positions range from moderately stable to unstable. Morphological characteristics include weathered bar and swale complexes with muted topography and light to moderate varnish and weathering of surface clasts; class also includes shallowly dissected remnants of older, stable surfaces interspersed among stable distributary flow networks. Classification represents a composite characteristic, but may not adequately represent conditions in specific sub-areas. A more cautious interpretation of this class would upgrade it to 'high'. Depending on local conditions, these areas may not convey flow, even during particularly large floods. In high relief areas, they may be subject to debris flow. Geologic deposits and surfaces in this class span an age range from latest Holocene to latest Pleistocene (0 to approximately 14,000 years; House and others, 2006).

Geologic evidence indicates that class has moderate but variable potential to convey dangerous flow during large floods. It poses a definite floodplain management concern.

LOW Areas of stable alluvial surfaces that have been largely excluded from active alluvial fan processes for more than 5000 years. Members of this class, however, are linked too closely in space and time with areas in the high and moderate classes to assert that they are not flood hazardous. Members of this class exhibit strongly planar surfaces with moderate to strong gravel pavement development and moderately to darkly varnished surface clasts. Surface clasts of carbonate rocks are weakly to moderately etched, otherwise clast weathering is minor. Soil development associated with these surfaces is characterized by strong Av and Bw horizons and stage I to 2 Bk horizon development.

This class also includes broad areas of planar alluvial fan surfaces mantled with at least 0.75 m of windblown sand which is commonly overlain by a thin and loose gravel lag. The preponderance of eolian materials on these surfaces indicates the general absence of active alluvial fan processes. This type of feature is particularly widespread in Hidden Valley and along the north and east sides of Jean and Roach Lakes. Soil development on the sand-mantled surfaces is minimal and characterized by weak cambic (Bw) and calcic (Bk stage 1) horizons.

Surface morphology, soil development, and relations to regional studies strongly suggest that surfaces in this class have not been subject to alluvial fan processes for at least the last 7000 to 8000 years, and flood hazards are not significant except locally where members of the class are adjacent to major active channels and where they are crossed by incised, active channels. In these areas (particularly along unit lateral and upslope margins in middle and lower reaches of major fan complexes) shallow to moderate overflow or lateral erosion may be relevant concerns. Geologic deposits and surfaces in this class range in age from early Holocene to latest Pleistocene (ca. 7000 to at least 15,000 years; House and others, 2006).

This class represents a lower floodplain management concern than preceding ones. It is locally vulnerable to overflow and lateral erosion.

VERY LOW This class includes geologic deposits and surfaces that do not experience alluvial fan flooding, but may be subject to flooding from local sources. It includes thick, actively accumulating mantles of eolian sand and ancient, moderately to very strongly carbonate-cemented relict alluvial surfaces that have been free from active alluvial fan processes for periods well in excess of tens of thousands of years (House and others, 2006). In some cases, surfaces included in this class are so high-standing as to obviously preclude alluvial fan flood hazards, but even the lowest-lying members exhibit surface morphology and soil development characteristics that are consistent with extremely long periods of stability, including: planar surface remnants with light, darkly varnished gravel pavements that may include abundant clasts of calcrete litter; moderately to deeply weathered surface clasts (etching, pitting, and spalling); deeply furrowed planar to weakly convex surface remnants with exposed calcic soil horizons, high-standing, deeply incised fan remnants with retrograde gravel pavements on surface and sideslopes; and high-standing planar slopes underlain by massive petrocalcic soils. Eolian features included in this class include locally thick accumulations of sand on ancient fan and bedrock surfaces, thick sand ramps that overlie steep mountain-front slopes, and some small areas of dunes.

This class does not represent a significant floodplain management problem, but some local conditions may merit concern.

VARIABLE Small areas that may have special hazardous conditions that are not linked to alluvial fan/piedmont flood hazards. This includes mixed colluvial gravel and debris flow deposits on steeply sloping hillslopes and variably active talus piles and colluvial debris cones below steep bedrock cliffs. The former situation is common on steep slopes on volcanic rocks of the McCullough Range (particularly in the Hidden Valley area, and below Table Mountain in the southern Spring Mountains. The latter situation is mainly present in parts of the Bird Spring Range and Spring Mountains where steep bedrock cliffs are common. These types of units (mainly the latter type) were mapped sparingly throughout the area where they were easily distinguished on aerial photographs and other imagery (House and others, 2006).

Members of this class represent special situations and should be evaluated on an individual basis. They comprise a very small part of the map area and are often in rugged and remote settings.

INDETERMINATE Areas that have been extensively modified by excavation, artificial fill, or commercial development. Flood hazards in these areas cannot be assessed from the basis of geologic evidence. This includes the course of Interstate 15, the Union Pacific railroad, borrow pits and mining operations, and developed areas in Goodsprings, Jean (including the Jean Airport and the correctional facility), and Primm.

This class represents a special situation. It may locally represent a significant floodplain management concern, but large tracts of it are not flood prone.

UNMAPPED Areas mapped as bedrock by House and others (2006). Locally includes small areas of active and inactive alluvial surfaces, colluvium, and minor amounts of eolian sediment. Significant flood and debris flow hazards exist in narrow bedrock canyons and steep slopes in these areas but are too small to map. Extremely rugged topography in most bedrock areas limits potential for commercial and suburban development.

This class may locally represent a floodplain management concern, but very large tracts of it are neither flood prone nor readily accessible.

REFERENCES

House, P.K., 2005, Using geology to improve flood hazard management on alluvial fans, an example from Laughlin, Nevada: Journal of the American Water Resources Association, v. 41, no. 6, p. 1431-1447.

House, P.K., Ramelli, A.R., and Buck, B.J., 2006, Surficial geologic map of the Ivanpah Valley area, Clark County, Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Map 156, 1:50,000.

7.5' Quadrangle Index and Basin Location Map



Map boundary | Other road or street | Township and range lines

Interstate highway | Trail | State boundary

State highway | Railroad | Powerline

NEVADA BUREAU OF MINES AND GEOLOGY
MACKAY SCHOOL OF EARTH SCIENCES AND ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

Funding from Clark County Regional Flood Control District and U.S. Geological Survey STATEMAP Program (Agreement Nos. 04-HQ-AG-0032, 03-HQ-AG-0049, and 02-HQ-AG-0019). Field work performed from 2002-2006.

We thank the following individuals for their assistance and input towards the development of this map: Brien Park, Thomas Prescott, Amy Brock, and Andrew Hanson

Office review by:
John W. Hawley (Hawley Geomatters, Albuquerque, NM); Philip A. Pearthree (AZGS); John W. Bell (NBMG)

Field review by:
John W. Hawley (Hawley Geomatters, Albuquerque, NM); Philip A. Pearthree (AZGS); John W. Bell (NBMG); Douglas J. Merkler (NRCS)

Edited by: Dick Meeuwig
Cartography: P. Kyle House, Christine M. Arritt and Elizabeth C. Crouse
Printed by: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology
First Edition, 2007

For sale by the Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology
University of Nevada, Mail Stop 178
Reno, Nevada, 89557-0178
(775) 784-6691
nbmgsales@unr.edu; www.nbmg.unr.edu

GEOLOGIC ASSESSMENT OF PIEDMONT AND PLAYA FLOOD HAZARDS IN THE IVANPAH VALLEY AREA, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

P. Kyle House

2007

Base map: U.S. Geological Survey, Mesquite Lake, NV, 30 x 60' Quadrangle, 1985
CONTOUR INTERVAL 50 METERS
Projected to: Universal Transverse Mercator, zone 11
1983 North American Datum

SCALE 1:50,000

0 1 2 Kilometers

0 1 2 Miles

0 2,000 4,000 6,000 8,000 10,000 Feet