## STATE OF NEVADA OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER

•;\*

## WATER RESOURCES BULLETIN No. 11

# Preliminary Report on Ground Water in Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and California

By T. E. EAKIN

With a statement on

Reconnaissance Land Classification of Fish Lake Valley, Esmeralda County, Nevada

By HOWARD G. MASON

Prepared in cooperation with the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Geological Survey 1950

157



CARSON CITY, NEVADA STATE PRINTING OFFICE - - JACK MCCARTHY, SUPERINTENDENT 1950

## CONTENTS

Foreword	PAGE 5
Introduction	7
Location and general features.	7
Climate	9
Estimate of precipitation in the tributary drainage area	11
Stream flow	15
General geology and water-bearing characteristics of the rocks	17
Ground water	18
General conditions	18
Recharge	18
Evaluated runoff from the bedrock area	18
Seepage loss from streams	20
Recharge as a percentage of precipitation	21
Discharge	22
Transpiration and evaporation	23
Wells	24
Springs	25
Potential development	26
Artificial recharge	27
Chemical quality	28
Summary	31
Reconnaissance land classification of Fish Lake Valley, Esmeralda	
County, Nevada, by Howard G. Mason	34
Introduction	34
Land classification	35
Economic conditions affecting development	36

## ILLUSTRATIONS

Plate 1. Map of Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and California.... In pocket
2. Map showing reconnaissance land classification of Fish Lake Valley, Esmeralda County, Nevada.. In pocket

## TABLES

Table	1.	Summary of precipitation, in inches, at three stations in Esmeralda County, Nevada	page 10
	2.	Precipitation, in inches, during the period 1945 to 1949, at Palmetto, Esmeralda County Nevada	10
	3.	Average, average maximum, and average minimum monthly and annual temperatures, in degrees Fahrenheit, Oasis Ranch, Esmeralda County, Nevada	12
	4.	Areas, in acres, of precipitation zones for drainage units in Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and Califor- nia	14
	5.	Estimated average annual precipitation, in acre-feet, by zones for drainage units in Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and California	14
	6.	Staff readings, in feet, and discharges, in second-feet, of McAfee Creek, Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and California	16
	7.	Miscellaneous discharge measurements for streams in Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and California	16
	8.	Evaluated annual run-off, in acre-feet, for restricted drainage basins in Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and California	19
	9.	Estimated average annual ground-water recharge in acre-feet, as a percentage of precipitation from drainage units in Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and	
	10.	California Estimated annual discharge of ground water, in acre- feet, by transpiration and evaporation in Fish	22
	11.	Lake Valley, Nevada and California Well number, owner, reported rate of discharge, and	23
		total estimated withdrawal of ground water for irrigation during 1949 in Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and California	25
	12.	Chemical analyses of water from six wells and two springs in Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and Cali- fornia	29

## FOREWORD

Many people, seeking some measure of security in this uncertain age, are turning their eyes to the West in search of new land where they may put down roots in our social system, develop farms, raise their families, and to some extent find freedom from the increasing worry and grind of present-day life. The West, and States, like Nevada in particular, with vast areas of unclaimed and undeveloped public land beckon them.

Many of these pioneers are not well informed as to western land and water and are too willing to risk their hard-earned savings on land-development projects of little merit. There are hundreds of desert landscapes in the Western States dotted with crumbling, sunbaked and windswept ruins of little homestead houses, surrounded by acres of barren sandy land serrated by the plow—grim monuments to blasted hopes and wasted years of toil.

We want as little of this as possible in Nevada, which is a major reason why our Legislature in 1945 wisely established a cooperative program between the U. S. Geological Survey, Ground Water Branch, and the office of the State Engineer.

The first purpose of this cooperative group is to determine the location of underground water, to evaluate the resource so far as possible, and give this information to the public in printed form. To date, eight Water Resources Bulletins have been printed and several mimeographed and typewritten reports completed in regard to arid and semiarid valleys throughout the State. The magnitude of the task is apparent when it is stated that over 80 such valleys are in Nevada.

This bulletin covers an area in southern Nevada, Esmeralda County, named Fish Lake Valley. During the last few months, applications for ground water from Fish Lake Valley have poured into the office of the State Engineer until now 105 have been received, and the end is not yet in sight. Our examinations reveal that far more land has been applied for than can be irrigated with the quantity of water that can be developed. A careful land-classification survey by the Nevada Agricultural Experiment Station and the U. S. Bureau of Land Management further reveals that only about one-tenth of the land applied for is Class I, upon which, with careful farming, crops could be profitably raised, if supplied with sufficient water.

We hereby express our deep appreciation for the splendid

cooperation of the U. S. Bureau of Land Management, U. S. Soil Conservation Service, and the Nevada Agricultural Experiment Station. Without their experienced technical aid it would have been difficult to obtain much of the information included in the report.

ALFRED MERRITT SMITH, State Engineer.

May 16, 1950.

## PRELIMINARY REPORT ON GROUND WATER IN FISH LAKE VALLEY, NEVADA AND CALIFORNIA

### By T. E. EAKIN

## INTRODUCTION

Since July 1, 1945, the United States Geological Survey has been cooperating with the State Engineer of Nevada in a study of the ground-water resources of Nevada. The purpose of these studies is to evaluate the ground-water resources in the various valleys of the State. In the latter part of 1949, as a result of numerous ground-water applications and the prospect of expanded development of ground water for irrigation in Fish Lake Valley, the State Engineer requested the Geological Survey to make a study of ground-water resources in the valley. Collection of data on wells and water levels had been begun in 1946 by the Survey. The present study was directed toward an estimate of the average annual recharge and discharge of ground water, and the extent of present ground-water development. This preliminary report summarizes the ground-water conditions, on the basis of data available, as of December 1949.

The writer gratefully recognizes the considerable assistance and critical review of this report by his colleagues, T. W. Robinson and O. J. Loeltz.

### LOCATION AND GENERAL FEATURES

Fish Lake Valley lies principally in western Esmeralda County, Nevada but extends into the eastern parts of Mono and Inyo Counties, California (see Pl. 1). The valley floor, in the form of an irregular crescent, concave to the east, is about 45 miles long, and extends from a water gap on the north to a point about 8 miles south of Oasis, California. It ranges in width from about 1 to 5 miles. The outlet at the north end of the valley, known locally as the "Gap," is roughly 1 mile in length, its floor averaging a little less than one-eighth of a mile in width. It is the only surface outlet for water from Fish Lake Valley. However, according to reports, surface water from Fish Lake Valley discharges through the Gap only during periods of high runoff.

The altitude of the valley floor is about 5,000 feet in the vicinity

1950

of Oasis and about 4,700 feet in the Gap. The slope of the valley floor is northward, averaging about 11 feet per mile from Oasis to Fish Lake Spring near the central part of the valley, and about 5 feet per mile from Fish Lake Spring to the Gap.

The valley is enclosed by mountains except at the Gap. White Mountains on the west form a bold range extending southsoutheast from Boundary Peak near the State line in the northwest part of the valley. The crest altitude is in excess of 10,000 feet for a distance of about 25 miles, and probably averages about 12,000 feet. White Mountain Peak, the highest point in the range, has an altitude of 14,242 feet.

North and east from Boundary Peak the crest altitude and drainage divide declines rapidly and follows a line of hills across the north end of the valley to the Gap.

Southeast from the Gap the drainage divide follows the very irregular crest of Silver Peak Range which lies on the east side of Fish Lake Valley. The Silver Peak Range has a crest altitude ranging from about 6,500 to 9,500 feet above sea level and averaging about 7,500 feet. Piper Peak, east of Fish Lake, is the highest point in the range. The Palmetto Mountains are a southeast extension of Silver Peak Range. From Palmetto Mountains the drainage divide extends southward through Magruder Mountain, thence generally west to the Sylvania Mountains. An alluvial divide connects the Sylvania Mountains with the southeast extension of the White Mountains to close the valley at the south end. The area included within the drainage boundary of Fish Lake Valley is about 965 square miles.

Principal access to the valley is Nevada State Highway 3A, which leaves U. S. Highway 6 about 7 miles west of Coaldale Junction, enters the valley from the north, and extends southward to the State line. From this point an undesignated California road continues southward to Oasis. At Oasis a road extends southwestward to Big Pine and Bishop, and eastward to the Nevada-California State line, where it joins Nevada State Highway 3. State Highway 3 extends eastward 42 miles to its junction with U. S. Highway 95 about 15 miles south of Goldfield.

Nevada State Highway 3A is paved from the junction with U. S. Highway 6 to about the south line of T. 3 S. Beyond this point to the State line it is a graded gravel road. Nevada State Highway 3 is a well-graded gravel road. In the California part of the valley the roads mentioned above are paved. Numerous other roads and trails make most parts of the valley accessible under favorable conditions.

#### CLIMATE

Records of precipitation of moderate length are available for two stations, Oasis Ranch (Oasis) and Palmetto, in the southern part of Fish Lake Valley. There is only one short record, that for Dyer Post Office, in the central part of the valley and none for the northern part. The longer records include the period 1903 to 1919 for Oasis Ranch, and the periods 1890 to 1911, and 1945 to 1949 for Palmetto. The Palmetto station location and altitude were probably not the same for the two periods of record. This is indicated, in part, by the station altitude for the later period of record, which is 400 feet higher than for the early record. The normal monthly and annual precipitation, together with the precipitation for the maximum and minimum years of record for the stations, are shown in Table 1. Similar records are given also for the Goldfield station whose 44-year record includes the period 1906 through 1949.

The record of Oasis Ranch indicates that precipitation on the floor of Fish Lake Valley would generally be less than 5 inches per year. The records from 1890 to 1911 for Palmetto suggest either unusually high precipitation for the immediate area, or that the gage was subject to exposure which resulted in recording abnormally high amounts of precipitation. The precipitation record for the period 1945 to 1949 at Palmetto is obtained by the use of an automatic-weighing gage and, therefore, is more reliable than the early record. The recent record is shown in Table 2. The maximum annual precipitation during the recent period of record was 8.09 inches in 1946, and the minimum was 2.60 inches in 1948.

	Dec. Annual 1.42 4.77 1.42 4.77 1.43 9.83 1.25 17.23 1.25 17.23 1.26 7.73 1.60 7.73 1.13 11.48 1.13 11.48 1.14 11.48	Dec Annual	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	.62 4.54
	Nov. 0.27 1.65 1.55 1.560 1.560 1.560 1.560 1.560 1.560 1.560 1.560 1.560 1.560 1.560 1.560 1.560 1.560 1.560 1.565 1.5555 1.5555 1.5555 1.5555 1.5555 1.5555 1.5555 1.5555 1.5555 1	Nov.	2.03 .12 .23	.60
da	Oct. 0.27 0.27 1.04 1.04 1.05 0.02 .002 .002 15 miles	Oct.	.63 .14 .04	.30
y, neva	Sept. 0.28 .38 .38 .38 .33 .32 .256 about	Sent	000 000 000 000	2.0
a count	Aug. 0.41 1.55 1.55 2.00 3.48 3.48 3.48 1.71 1.71 1.71 1.71 1.71 1.71 1.71 2.80 3.48 3.48 3.48 3.48 1.66 3.48 1.67 1.67 1.67 1.67 1.67 1.67 1.67 1.67	Anier auto	0.89 .00 .01	.26
nreineraud	July 0.40 0.40 1.27 1.27 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.01 1.01	July La	007 17.1 00 18	.39
ous m r	June 0.26 0.26 0.06 0.06 1.13 1.13 1.13 1.13 1.13 1.13 1.13 1.1	AU L MIII	0.34 .00 .00 .00	H.
eau rec	May 1.83 1.83 1.65 1.65 1.58 1.58 1.58 1.58 1.58 1.65 1.65 1.65 1.65 1.65 1.65 1.65 1.65	Way	0.32 58 .31 .89	.51
ner Bur	Apr. 0.41 0.41 1.26 1.26 1.26 1.30 1.30 1.30 1.30 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.	Anr.	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.19\\ 65\\ 1.24\\ .34\\ .34 \end{array} $	.50
(Weat	Mar. 0.355 0.09 0.00 5.19 5.19 5.19 5.19 5.19 5.19 5.19 5.19	Mar.	0.37 .72 .00 .81	.48
	Feb. 0.57 0.57 0.57 1.88 3.11 0.9 0.1 ve, are f	Feh.	24 63 60 80	.45
hrecthr	Jan. 0.66 1.93 1.93 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.26 1	Jan.	0.15	25
Summary of	Pariod 1913 — To Average 1917 — Maximum 1917 — Marimum 1918 — Maximum 1906 — Maximum 1924 — Minimum 1924 — Minimum 1928 — Davide 1903 to 1911, in mplete, 1908 to 1911, in	FIGUIPLEMENDII, III II Pariod	1945 1946 1947 1948 1949	1945-49, Average
	Station Altitude 5,106 Altitude 5,100 Altitude 5,500 Altitude 5,700 Ifecord for t Panch. Paroch for t	Station	Palmetto <sup>1</sup> Altitude 6,900	

Ground Water in Fish Lake Valley

10

Analysis of the records of six stations in the region around Fish Lake Valley indicates that precipitation for the period 1947 to 1949, inclusive, averaged about 50 percent of the normal precipitation. The data, based on records of the Weather Bureau, are summarized below:

				PRECIPITATIO 	N FOR PERIOD
Station	Altitude (feet)	Period of record (years)	Precipita- tion normal (inches)	Average annual (inches)	Percent of normal
Ellery Lake Gem Lake Bridgeport Goldfield Mina Bishop	9,600 9,120 6,440 5.700 4,350 4,108	$23 \\ 23 \\ 29 \\ 44 \\ 53 \\ 38 $	$29.90 \\ 26.54 \\ 10.70 \\ 5.72 \\ 3.73 \\ 6.17$	$14.83 \\13.31 \\5.83 \\1.97 \\2.68 \\2.57$	45.5 50.2 54.5 34.4 71.8 41.7
Average		_			50.4

Goldfield is east, Mina is north, and the other four stations are generally west of Fish Lake Valley. Together, these stations with their different altitudes and different geographic positions with respect to Fish Lake Valley afford a reasonable basis for inferring that precipitation in the valley for the period 1947 to 1949, inclusive, was far below long-time normal.

The average temperature for 15 years of record at Oasis Ranch was  $48.9^{\circ}$  F., the average maximum was  $67.5^{\circ}$  F., and the average minimum was  $30.4^{\circ}$  F. (See Table 3.) The frost-free period at Palmetto averaged 96 days for 20 years of record ending in 1911. However, it is believed to be substantially longer on the floor of Fish Lake Valley, which is from 1,500 to 1,800 feet lower.

### ESTIMATE OF PRECIPITATION IN THE TRIBUTARY DRAINAGE AREA

A satisfactory estimate of the precipitation in the drainage area tributary to Fish Lake Valley is hampered by lack of adequate precipitation records within the valley. There are no records for the adjacent high mountain areas, where most of the water supply for the valley area originates as precipitation.

In the absence of basic data, an attempt has been made to estimate precipitation in the drainage area by applying the graph developed by Lee<sup>1</sup> showing relation of precipitation to altitude for the east (or lee) side of the Sierra Nevada where the average crest altitude is 12,000 feet. It is recognized that it is somewhat hazardous to transfer this relation to an area which is in the "storm shadow" of the Sierra Nevada without applying a factor to compensate for the "storm shadow" effect. However, there are no data presently available to indicate the order of magnitude

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Lee, C. H., Total evaporation for Sierra Nevada watersheds by the method of precipitation and runoff differences: Am. Geophys. Union Trans., pt. 1, fig. 3, p. 58, 1941.

			Ra	nch, Esi (Weat	meralda ther Bur	County,	Nevada <sup>1</sup> rds)						
	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Year
Average	- 29.4	34.3	41.2	49.6	55.3	64.1	69.6	69.7	60.3	49.0	37.9	28.6	48.9
Average maximum	- 45.1	48.7	58.2	69.6	74.4	84.9	89.9	88.2	80.0	69.1	56.8	45.5	67.5
Average minimum	- 13.6	19.8	24.2	29.6	36.3	43.3	49.4	47.8	40.5	28.9	1.9.1	11.7	30.4
<sup>1</sup> Length of record 15 now Bar Double Nine Ra Mono County, California.	years, a nch, abou	ctual years ut 15 miles	uncertain, northwest	but wit of Oasis	hin the p s Ranch.	eriod 190 Record I	3–1919. ists Oasi	Part of 1 s Ranch i	record pr n Nevada	obably ob Lalthough	tained at the actu	McAfee ] al locatio	tanch, n is in

TABLE 3

## Ground Water in Fish Lake Valley

of such a correction for this area and, therefore, none will be applied in this report. The graph developed by Lee is based upon numerous precipitation records which were systematically adjusted to a common 60-year period.

To estimate the precipitation in the drainage area of Fish Lake Valley, zones were arbitrarily established between selected contours on U. S. Geological Survey topographic maps. Thus, the several zones adopted occupied the areas between the 5,500- and 7,000-foot contours, the 7,000- and 7,500-foot contours, the 7,500and 8,500-foot contours, and above the 8,500-foot contour. On the basis of Lee's graph, the average precipitation in the successive zones was assumed to be 9, 12, 14, and  $20\frac{1}{2}$  inches, respectively.

Precipitation at comparable altitudes in the mountains along the east, southeast, and southwest sides of Fish Lake Valley is known to be far less than that in the White Mountains. These areas are generally in the "storm shadow" of the White Mountains. Although the difference of precipitation between the east and west sides of the valley is not known, personal observations and reports of local residents suggest that the precipitation on the east side of the valley is about one-half that of the White Mountains. Accordingly, the precipitation in the various zones was reduced by 50 percent for the mountain areas other than the White Mountains.

The area for each zone in the several drainage units of Fish Lake Valley is given in Table 4, and the data used for estimating the average annual precipitation are given in Table 5. The total precipitation in the tributary drainage area is estimated to be about 364,000 acre-feet a year.

Precipitation below the 5,500-foot contour which includes the area of the valley floor, according to Lee's graph, is less than 7 inches. Lee's graph is, in part, substantiated by the record for Oasis Ranch, altitude about 5,000 feet. The precipitation shown by the graph for this altitude is about 5.5 inches, whereas, the average for the period of record is 4.77 inches. Precipitation occurring on the floor of the valley has not been included in the estimate because in Fish Lake Valley it is believed to be a negligible part of the ground-water recharge.

#### TABLE 4

#### Areas, in acres, of precipitation zones for drainage units in Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and California

Drainage unit	5,500- to 7,000-foot zone	7,000- to 7,500-foot zone	7,500- to 8,500-foot zone	Above 8,500-foot zone	Total pre- cipitation area of unit
Wildhorse Flat. Chiatovich Creek Indian Creek Leidy Creek Perry Aiken Creek McAfee Creek Furnace, Taber, and other Creeks Cottonwood Creek Mountains south of lat. 37°30' N. Silver Peak Range north of lat. 37°30' N. <sup>2</sup>	$\begin{array}{c} 31,500\\ 7,600\\ 4,900\\ 5,700\\ 2,400\\ 3,200\\ 2,000\\ 13,500\\ 13,500\\ 13,100\\ 37,600\\ 72,600 \end{array}$	3,700 2,000 1,200 1,100 1,100 1,800 1,000 4,100 2,800 17,000 30,200	5,400 5,800 2,600 3,400 2,200 3,200 1,500 6,400 6,400 7,700 33,400	$\begin{array}{c} 4,700\\ 20,200\\ 6,600\\ 10,200\\ 1,400\\ 8,200\\ 5,900\\ 4,700\\ 22,700\\ 800\\ 5,400\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 45,300\\ 35,600\\ 15,300\\ 21,000\\ 7,100\\ 16,400\\ 10,400\\ 28,700\\ 45,000\\ 63,100\\ 141,600\end{array}$
Total	194,100	66,600	78,000	90,800	429,500

#### TABLE 5

#### Estimated average annual precipitation, in acre-feet, by zones, for drainage units in Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and California

Drainage unit	5,500- to 7,000-foot zone	7,000- to 7,500-foot zone	7,500- to 8,500-foot zone	Above 8,500-foot zone	Total (approx.) precipitation (acre-feet)
Wildhorse Flat	23,620	3,700	6,320	8,040	41,700
Chiatovich Creek	5,700	2,000	6,790	34,540	49,000
Indian Creek	3,680	1,200	3,040	11,290	19,200
Leidy Creek	4,280	1,700	3,980	17,440	27,400
South of Leidy Creek	1,800	1,100	2,570	2,390	7,900
Perry Aiken Creek	2,400	1,800	3,740	14,020	22,000
McAfee Creek	1,500	1,000	1,760	10,090	14,400
Furnace and other Creeks	10,120	4,100	7,490	8,040	29,700
Cottonwood Creek	9,820	2,800	7,490	38,820	58,900
Mountains south of lat. 37°30' N.	14,100	8,500	4,500	680	27,700
Silver Peak Range north of lat. 37°30' N. <sup>2</sup>	27,220	15,100	19,540	4,620	66,500
Total (approximate)	104,000	43,000	67,000	150,000	364,000

<sup>1</sup>Based on data from Lee, C. H., Total evaporation for Sierra Nevada watersheds by the method of precipitation and runoff differences: Am. Geophys. Union Trans., pt. 1, fig. 3, p. 58, 1941. <sup>2</sup>Precipitation reduced 50 percent for each of the zones on the basis of local reports of "far less" precipitation in the Silver Peak Range, indication by vegetation of much less precipitation, and "storm shadow" effect in the Silver Peak Range as compared with precipitation condi-tions in the loftier White Mountains.

## STREAM FLOW

There are six perennial streams in Fish Lake Valley, all of which head in the higher parts of the White Mountains. In order, from the north these are Chiatovich, Indian, Leidy, Perry Aiken, McAfee, and Cottonweed Creeks. Miscellaneous discharge measurements or estimates have been made on Chiatovich, Indian, and Leidy Creeks. However, the best available information is a 3-year record, 1947 to 1949, inclusive, based on staff readings for McAfee Creek. The flow of McAfee Creek is diverted to a small forebay at about the contact of the bedrock and alluvial deposits, and thence over a 3-foot contracted rectangular weir into an open, lined ditch. In general, the flow is well controlled except possibly for short periods when conditions permit some water to bypass the weir. The staff readings and equivalent discharges are shown in Table 6.

Table 7 lists measurements of other streams together with several staff readings for a recently installed 5-foot contracted rectangular weir on Chiatovich Creek.

For the period 1947–1949, the records at stations in the region adjacent to Fish Lake Valley (see p. 11) indicate that precipitation, on the average, was about 50 percent of the long-time normal. Since stream flow is related to precipitation, total stream flow for the period undoubtedly was substantially below average. During periods of high runoff, stream flow reaches the playa area in the northeastern part of the valley, and according to reports, occasionally part of this is discharged through the Gap to the Columbus Marsh basin. The frequency of discharge through the Gap is not known. TABLE 6

#### Staff readings, in feet, and discharges, in second-feet, of McAfee Creek, Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and California<sup>1</sup>

(Staff readings by G. A. Riley)

	Staff	9		Staff			Staff	
Date	reading	Discharge	Date	reading	Discharge	Date	reading	Discharge
1946			1948			1949		
December 12	6.38	2.28	April 7	6.42	2.65	April 15		2.5 +
			April 9		3.22	May 1	6.4	2.5+
1947			April 20	6.42	2.65	May 12		2.5+
March 1	6.42	2.65	April 28		2.65	May 15	6.44	2.83
March 31		3.4+	May 9	6.40	2.46	May 20	6.38	2.28
April 15	6.42	2.65	May 16		2.5 +	May 27	6.48	3.22
May 1	6.6	4.5+	June 1		2.5+	May 28	6.56	4.04
May 10	6.52	3.62	June 10	6.4	2.5+	June 3	6.4	2.5+
May 22	6.76	6.33	July 1	6.4	$2.5 \pm$	June 8		4.5
May 23	6.82	7.08	July 10	6.4	2.5 +	June 9	6.68	5.38
June 1		6.09	July 25	6.38	2.28	June 12	6.8	6.8+
June 23	6.66	5.15	August 1	6:36	2.11	June 14-18	<sup>27.0</sup>	$9.5 \pm$
July 3		4.69	August 3	6.32	1.77	June 22		4.5
July 30	6.6	4.5±	August 15	6.36	2.11	July 1	6.55	3.94
August 15	6.58	4.26	August 29	6.36	2.11	July 10	6.48	3.22
August 27	6.56	4.04	September 5	6.35	2.02	July 27		2.65
September 3		4.04	September 19	6.35	2.02	August 3	6.45	2.93
September 10		3.94	September 28	6.37	2.20	August 15		2.5-
September 18		3.83	October 8	6.35	2.02	September 1	6.4	2.5 +
September 27		3.73	October 17	6.36	2.11	September 15 _		2.65
October 8	6.50	3.42	November 1		$2.5 \pm$	October 1	6.45	2.93
October 18	6.50	3.42	November 15	6.38	2.28	October 18	6.48	3.22
October 23	6.50	3.42				November 1		2.93
October 26	6.52	3.62	1949			November 10 _	6.475	3.17
November 13		4.5+	March 15	6.38	2.28			
November 25	6 5	3 4-+-	Anril 1	6.38	2.28			

<sup>1</sup>Discharge flows over 3-foot contracted rectangular weir; 6.00 feet on staff equals 0.00 head on weir. <sup>2</sup>About the same amount bypassing in natural channel.

#### TABLE 7

#### Miscellaneous discharge measurements for streams in Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and California

	Stream	Date	Discharge (second-feet)	Point of measurement
Chiatovich Chiatovich	Creek	October 11, 1949 November 10		Weir 200 feet east of highway.
Chiatovich	Creek	November 29		Weir 200 feet east of highway.
Chiatovich	Creek	December 2	- 12:20 p. m. 6.01	Weir 200 feet east of highway.
Chiatovich Chiatovich	Creek	December 2	- 11:45 a. m. 7.10	4.7 Miles upstream from highway.
Leidy Creek Indian Cree	k	December 12, 1946 December 2, 1949	2.9 1.93	About 4 miles upstream from highway. 2.6 miles upstream from McNett Ranch.

## GENERAL GEOLOGY AND WATER-BEARING CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ROCKS

Rocks of Palezoic age, including limestone, sandstone (quartzite), and shale (or slate), crop out in the White Mountains and in parts of the Silver Peak Range. Limestone, lava, and tuff of Jurassic age may crop out locally in the White Mountains. The rocks of Jurassic and older age have been metamorphosed adjacent to Jurassic (?) granitic intrusives which are exposed in the White Mountains.

Tertiary lacustrine sediments of the Esmeralda formation crop out prominently in the hills across the north end of Fish Lake Valley, in the north end of the Silver Peak Range, and locally in the mountains along the east side of the valley. Lava (basalt?) of post-Esmeralda age also is exposed in the hills at the north end of the valley and in the mountains along the east side of the valley.

The valley fill which underlies Fish Lake Valley consists of gravel, sand, silt, and clay. These sediments are younger than the post-Esmeralda lava and probably range in age from late Tertiary to Recent.

On the whole, the rocks that form the mountain areas surrounding the valley are much less permeable than the relatively unconsolidated valley fill. Locally, secondary openings in the older rocks may transmit large quantities of water. Thus Fish Lake Spring rises in alluvium adjacent to Paleozoic limestone. It seems most likely that this water is transmitted through solution openings in the limestone to a point not far below land surface, then rises in the alluvium to the surface.

Beds of sand and gravel in the valley fill are the most favorable for obtaining water by wells. This has been proved, in part, by several successful wells in Tps. 2 and 3 S., R. 35 E., and in T. 5 S., R. 37 E. Water-bearing beds of different thicknesses have been encountered by these wells at depths to as much as 700 feet.

The consolidated rocks of the mountains act as a barrier to the movement of ground water into or out of the valley. Within the valley they tend to divert underflow laterally to the ground-water reservoir in the valley fill. The geologic, hydrologic, and topographic evidence indicates that under natural conditions the possibility of water entering Fish Lake Valley from outside its drainage basin is very remote.

## GROUND WATER

### GENERAL CONDITIONS

The source of the ground water in Fish Lake Valley is primarily precipitation in the White Mountains and, to a lesser extent, in the Silver Peak Range and other mountains surrounding the valley. Precipitation on the valley floor and the lower parts of the alluvial apron averages less than 7 inches a year. The greater part of this precipitation ordinarily is utilized by plants or is lost by evaporation. Consequently, recharge to the groundwater reservoir from this source is believed to be negligible.

The slope of the water table, and hence the general direction of movement of the water, conforms in a subdued form to the slope of the land surface; that is, the slope is toward the central part of the valley and northward along the axis of the valley.

The water level is at or near land surface in the lowest part of the valley northward from a point west of Fish Lake Spring. It increases in depth toward the margins of the valley and southward along the valley axis.

Essentially all the ground water is discharged from the valley by transpiration of vegetation and evaporation from the soil and free-water surfaces. Underflow from the valley through the Gap is very minor. During years in which surface water is discharged through the Gap, a small part of the discharge may be derived from the ground-water reservoir.

#### RECHARGE

The ground-water reservoir is recharged from precipitation within the drainage area of Fish Lake Valley. The recharge may result from direct downward percolation into the bedrock of the mountains, thence by lateral movement into the reservoir in the valley fill, or from deep percolation into the alluvium after the precipitation has been concentrated into streams. In the latter instance the recharge may be effected anywhere that the water flows, but generally the rate is greatest in the higher parts of the alluvial slopes.

Although the amount of average annual recharge to the groundwater reservoir cannot be determined definitely, evaluation by several methods gives figures that may be considered reasonable under natural conditions.

## Evaluated Runoff From the Bedrock Area

The annual increment to ground-water storage may be estimated, in part, by evaluation of stream runoff from the bedrock area in the mountains. The evaluated runoff minus the consumptive use by irrigated crops and also losses from evaporation and transpiration will give a residual amount of water that is available for ground-water recharge.

Stream runoff of McAfee Creek in Fish Lake Valley has been evaluated on the basis of the 3-year staff record. From this, an annual unit runoff of 175 acre-feet per square mile was determined. For Chiatovich Creek an approximate agreement with this unit runoff was obtained from the spot measurements made in 1949, which were adjusted with due regard to seepage loss along the alluvial fan, and to the fact that November stream flow on McAfee Creek approximated the average flow for the period of record. A lesser agreement was obtained by similar treatment of the spot measurements on Indian and Leidy Creeks. However, it should be recognized that adequate data may warrant a revision of this unit runoff. When applied to the drainage area, the unit runoff indicated an annual runoff of 48,800 acre-feet (see Table 8). The unit value obtained appears very low when compared to other drainage areas along the east side of the Sierra Nevada. General consideration of the several factors in Fish Lake Valley suggests, however, that the unit runoff in the valley would not be as large as that for basins on the east flank of the Sierra Nevada for which information is available. It seems reasonable, though, that runoff during the period of record was much below the long-time average because of below-average precipitation during the same period.

T.	A	B	L	E	8
_	_	_	_	_	_

Evaluated annual runoff, in acre-feet, for restricted drainage basins in Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and California<sup>1</sup>

Drainage basin (restricted <sup>2</sup> )	Area of basin (square-miles)	Evaluated annual runoff (acre-feet)
Wildhorse Flat	21.5	3.760
Chiatovich Creek	33.6	5,880
Indian Creek	13.9	2,430
Leidy Creek	19.6	3,430
South of Leidy Creek	7.5	1,310
Perry Aiken Creek	20.7	3.620
McAfee Creek	14.8	2,590
Furnace, Taber, and other Creeks	23.8	4,160
Cottonwood Creek	49.8	8.720
Mountain area south of lat. 37°30' N.	39.8	\$3,480
Silver Peak Range, north of lat. 37°30' N	107.9	39,440

Total estimated annual runoff (approximate) ......

Total estimated annual runoff (approximate) \_\_\_\_\_\_ 48,800 <sup>1</sup>Evaluated runoff unit is 175 acre-feet per square mile, based on analysis of avail-able stream-flow data principally from the 3-year period of staff readings, 1947-1949, for McAfee Creek. <sup>2</sup>The restricted basin designates that area lying upstream from the approximate bedrock-alluvial contact or upstream from the actual or assumed points past which all the evaluated runoff on McAfee, Chiatovich, Indian, and Leidy Creeks flows. Because of lack of runoff data, the restricted area for the other drainage basins is taken as the total precipitation area minus the area of the 5,500- to 7,000-foot zone, as this will approximate the area upstream from the contact of the bedrock and alluvial deposits.

\*Evaluated runoff unit of 175 acre-feet per square mile is reduced by 50 percent for these areas, in accordance with discussion on page 13.

48,800

The records for six stations in the region around Fish Lake Valley indicate that precipitation for the period 1947 to 1949, inclusive, has averaged about 50 percent of the normal for the periods of record (see p. 11). Also, runoff during the two years 1947 and 1948 of the West Walker River and of the East and West Forks of the Carson River has averaged about 64 percent of the mean runoff for periods of record. Thus, the runoff in McAfee Creek for the period 1947 to 1949, inclusive, may range from 50 to 64 percent of the long-time mean. Using an average of 57 percent, the unit runoff per square mile would be increased from 175 to approximately 300 acre-feet and would indicate a long-time mean annual runoff of about 86,000 acre-feet.

As was stated, the mean annual runoff minus that water utilized for irrigated crops is the amount of water available for groundwater recharge, and any evaporation and transpiration losses. It is estimated that about 5,000 acres of land are irrigated with an annual crop use of  $2\frac{1}{2}$  acre-feet per acre. Thus, about 12,500 acre-feet of water are used annually for irrigation. This would indicate that during the period of record the quantity of water annually available for recharge, and any evaporation and transpiration losses, was about 36,000 acre-feet, and that for the possible long-time mean about 73,500 acre-feet would be available. The losses by evaporation and transpiration depend largely on the quantity of water passing onto the heavy soils below the irrigated lands where the opportunity for recharge to the groundwater reservoir is poor. In the absence of detailed information, it is difficult to estimate the magnitude of these losses.

### Seepage Loss From Streams

The amount of water being lost by seepage from streams can be estimated by making measurements of discharge along the stream at two or more points under relatively constant-flow conditions, when losses by evaporation and transpiration are negligible.

A seepage run was made on Chiatovich Creek, December 2, 1949. The flow as measured by current meter, was 7.1 secondfeet at a point 4.7 miles upstream from the 5-foot contracted rectangular weir which had been installed late in 1949. The weir is about 200 feet east of State Highway 3A. Discharge at the weir was checked several times during the day and a constant flow of 6.01 second-feet was indicated for the period. Temperatures were sufficiently low to make evaporation and transpiration losses negligible between the two points. According to miscellaneous measurements, the rate of flow prior to the discharge on December 2 had been more or less maintained for about a month. The loss indicated by the measurements probably is near a minimum because during low-flow periods the stream is in a relatively tight channel which results, in part, from silting that occurs after a period of high runoff. Rate of loss undoubtedly is considerably greater during heavy spring runoff as the wetted area of the channel is much greater and additional stream turbulence tends to scour the bed of the channel. These factors greatly favor an increased rate of deep percolation in any stream channel during periods of high runoff.

On Chiatovich Creek the measured loss was 1.1 second-feet in a distance of 4.7 miles or an average rate of a little less than onequarter second-foot per mile. The measurements were made on the intermediate slope of the alluvial fan. Near the head of the fan the rate of loss ordinarily would be somewhat greater and on the lower slope the rate of loss would be somewhat less. On this basis, the measured rate of loss represents about an average for the stream across the alluvial fan. Chiatovich Creek and its principal tributary Middle Creek, flow across the alluvial fan a distance of about 10 miles. Applying a seepage loss rate of onequarter second-foot per mile, a loss of 21 second-feet is indicated for Chiatovich Creek at the time of measurement. This method could be extended to all the streams in the valley to obtain a minimum value for the potential average annual recharge from seepage across the alluvial apron. Most of the discharge of Leidy, McAfee, and Cottonwood Creeks is conducted across the alluvial apron by pipes or lined ditches, which would greatly complicate any estimate by this method and would require a great deal of study to evaluate the net effect of the pipe lines on groundwater recharge.

Indian Creek was measured near the contact of the bedrock and alluvial deposits 2.6 miles upstream from the McNett Ranch on December 2, 1949. At that time the discharge was 1.93 secondfeet and water was being spread over the land at the McNett Ranch. The water from Indian Creek is used largely for irrigation at the McNett Ranch, but part of it sinks into the ground. According to report, the creek almost never crosses the highway about 3.5 miles downstream from the McNett Ranch.

### Recharge as a Percentage of Precipitation

The method of estimating average annual ground-water recharge as a percentage of precipitation in the several effective zones in the mountains has been described in another report<sup>1</sup>. The general characteristics of Fish Lake Valley suggest that application of this method is reasonable. As the method is based on an assumed long-time average annual precipitation, it should vield a higher value than the estimate of ground-water discharge. which is believed to reflect the substantially deficient precipitation for the 3-year period 1947 to 1949, inclusive. According to this method, the average annual recharge to ground water is estimated to be about 54,000 acre-feet, as shown in Table 9. If this actually is representative of long-time average recharge, it affords an upper limit to the amount of ground water that may be developed by wells. It should be recognized, however, that the safe potential development of the ground-water reservoir in Fish Lake Valley will be something less than the average annual ground-water recharge and discharge, as development by wells is unlikely to be as efficient in the recovery of ground water as phreatophyte transpiration and evaporation processes.

TABLE 9

Estimated average annual ground-water recharge, in acre-feet, as a percentage of precipitation from drainage units in Fish Lake Valley. Nevada and California.

Drainage basin (restricted)	5,500- to 7,000-foot zone <sup>1</sup> (acre-feet)	7,000- to 7,500-foot zone <sup>2</sup> (acre-feet)	7,500- to 8,500-foot zone <sup>3</sup> (acre-feet)	Above 8,500-foot zone <sup>4</sup> (acre-feet)	Recharge approxi- mate (acre-feet)
Wildhorse Flat	. 710	260	950	2,010	3,930
Chiatovich Creek	. 170	140	1,020	8,640	9,970
Indian Creek	. 110	80	460	2,820	3,470
Leidy Creek	. 130	120	600	4.360	5,210
South of Leidy Creek	. 50	80	390	600	1,120
Perry Aiken Čreek	70	130	560	3.500	4.260
McAfee Creek	40	70	260	2,520	2.890
Furnace and other Creeks	300	290	1.120	2,010	3.720
Cottonwood Creek	300	200	1,120	9,700	11,320
Mountains south of lat.					1.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
37°30' N.5	420	600	680	170	1.870
Silver Peak Range north of		200.000.0	1000000	1.000.000	
lat. 37°30' N. <sup>5</sup>	. 820	1,060	2,930	1,160	5,970
Total (approximate)	3.100	3,000	10.100	37.500	53,700

Recharge, 3 percent of total precipitation. \*Recharge, 7 percent of total precipitation. \*Recharge, 15 percent of total precipitation. \*Recharge, 25 percent of total precipitation. \*On basis of precipitation reduction (see Table 5, footnote 2).

#### DISCHARGE

Water is withdrawn from the ground-water reservoir by wells and springs, by transpiration of phreatophytes (water-loving plants), by evaporation from soil and free-water surfaces, and by discharge through the Gap at the north end of the valley. All the discharge from wells, except that used for stock and domestic purposes, is used to irrigate crops, and only the discharge from

Maxey, G. B., and Eakin, T. E., Ground water in White River Valley, White Pine, Nye, and Lincoln Counties, Nevada: State of Nevada, Office of the State Engineer, Water Resources Bull. 8, p. 40, 1949.

the McNett flowing well, in sec. 19, T. 1 S., R. 36 E., reaches the area of transpiration in quantity. Ground-water discharge through the Gap is believed to be very minor. Most of the discharge from springs and the McNett flowing well is dissipated by evaporation and transpiration and thus may be included in the latter estimates. Thus, the average annual ground-water discharge from Fish Lake Valley may be obtained by estimating evaporation and transpiration losses and the amount of water pumped by wells, with the exception of the McNett flowing well.

## Transpiration and Evaporation

Field reconnaissance during this investigation indicated an area of about 48,600 acres from which water is discharged by transpiration and evaporation processes. The average annual discharge from this area has been estimated to be about 30,000 acre-feet, most of which is discharged in the area north of T. 4 S. (see Table 10).

#### TABLE 10

Estimated annual discharge of ground water, in acre-feet, by transpiration and evaporation in Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and California

Vegetation type	Area (acres)	Discharge (acre-feet)
Area north of T. 4 S		
Rabbit brush, greasewood, etc Moderate to low density. Depth to water, 10 to 40 feet, average about 20 feet. Average rate of use, 0.3 foot per year.	26,300	7,890
Salt grass, rabbit brush, grasses, etc Moderate to optimum density. Depth to water, 2 to 10 feet, average about 5 feet. Average rate of use, 1.0 foot per year.	13,900	13,900
Bare playa Land surface intercepts the capillary fringe all year. Depth to water, 0 to 5 feet. Average rate of evaporation, 1.0 foot per year.	2,400	2,400
Reeds, rushes, dense mixed grasses Some free-water surface. Water table at or near land surface all year. Average rate of use, 5.0 feet per year.	800	4,000
Area south of T. 3 S		
Rabbit brush, greasewood, etc Moderate to low density. Depth to water, 10 to 40 feet, average about 25 feet. Average rate of use, 0.3 foot per year.	5,200	1,560
Total discharge by transpiration and evaporation (approximate)		30,000

The scope of this investigation precluded any detailed studies of evapo-transpiration rates in Fish Lake Valley. Therefore, etismates of the rates of evapo-transpiration are based on data obtained from studies made in other parts of the West, especially those made by Lee<sup>2</sup> and White<sup>3</sup> in the Great Basin. These esti-

<sup>2</sup>Lee, C. H., An intensive study of the water resources of a part of Owens Valley, California: U. S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 294, 135 pp., 1912. <sup>3</sup>White, W. N., A method of estimating ground-water supplies based on dis-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>White, W. N., A method of estimating ground-water supplies based on discharge of plants and evaporation from soil: U. S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 659-A, 105 pp., 1932.

mates were adapted to the climatic and hydrologic conditions of Fish Lake Valley and compare favorably with the values of consumptive use estimated by Piper, Robinson, and Park<sup>4</sup> for the Harney Basin, Oregon. Consideration was given to area of discharge, and to type, density, and distribution of plants. Under average conditions, the annual transpiration by plants is more or less uniform, but will decrease as the water supply becomes inadequate. Thus, to satisfy their water requirements during extended dry periods, the plants utilize water that ordinarily would be discharged by soil evaporation, and evaporation from free ground-water surfaces, and/or would draw from groundwater storage insofar as possible. Accordingly, after several dry years the average water table might be lowered several feet and the rate of evaporation from the soil would be reduced, as would the area of free-water surfaces. As of late 1949, this condition is considered to be in effect because of the 3-year period (1947-49) during which precipitation was about 50 percent of the long-time normal. Therefore, it is logical to assume that the long-time average annual ground-water discharge in Fish Lake Valley is substantially greater than the estimated 30,000 acre-feet in 1949.

On the bases of the stream flow of the West Walker River and of the East and West Forks of the Carson River (flow during 1947 and 1948, 64 percent of normal) and precipitation in the region adjacent to Fish Lake Valley (50 percent of the long-time normal) the ground-water discharge in 1949 may have been between 50 and 64 percent of the long-time average annual discharge. Using the mean of these two values, the average annual discharge would be on the order of magnitude of 50,000 acre-feet.

### Wells

Withdrawal in 1949 from 17 irrigation wells in Fish Lake Valley is estimated at about 3,100 acre-feet (see Table 11). Of this amount about 2,250 acre-feet was withdrawn north of T. 4 S. The amount of water discharged by wells in 1949 probably about equals the average annual use under existing conditions.

With the exception of the McNett flowing well, all the water withdrawn is used for irrigation, stock, or domestic purposes, and does not materially supply water to the area of transpiration and evaporation. Thus, the estimated 2,800 acre-feet of ground water

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Piper, A. M., Robinson, T. W., and Park. C. F., Jr., Geology and groundwater resources of the Harney Basin, Oregon: U. S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper S41, 189 pp., 1939.

that was withdrawn by pumped wells in 1949 is not included in the amount discharged by evaporation and transpiration.

				TA	BTE 1	.1					
Well	number, or	wner, r	eporte	d rate of	discha	rge, a	nd	total	estim	ated wit	hdrawal
	of ground	water	for ir	rigation	during	1949	in	Fish	Lake	Valley,	Nevada
	and Califo	rnia.								1. 18	
								20 5 12			

Well No.	Owner	discharge (gallons per minute)	Estimated discharge, 1949 (acre-feet)
$\begin{array}{c} 18/36-19A3 \\ 28/35-33A1 \\ 28/35-33A1 \\ 28/35-33A4 \\ 28/35-33A5 \\ 28/35-33A5 \\ 28/35-34B2 \\ 28/35-34B2 \\ 28/35-34B2 \\ 38/35-4C1 \\ 38/35-24C1 \\ 38/35-24C1 \\ 38/35-24C1 \\ 38/35-26A2 \\ 38/35-26B1 \\ 58/37-27B1 \\ 58/37-27B2 \\ 58/37-27B3 \\$	McNett (flowing well) E. L. Cord E. L. Cord E. L. Cord E. L. Cord E. L. Cord E. L. Cord C. Cord E. L. Cord C. Parkinson Bar Double Nine Ranch F. Ferris Bar Double Nine Ranch Bar Double Nine Ranch F. Alexis F. Alexis Scott	195 200 110 150 200 130 130 250 460 460 400 540 650 1,350 1,300 1,300 1,300 1,300 1,300 1,300 1,00 650 1,000 1	$\begin{array}{r} 314\\198\\127\\134\\124\\198\\68\\106\\341\\30\\150\\126\\341\\30\\150\\126\\3475\\340\\50\\\hline2100\\\end{array}$
Total from numpe	d wells (approximate)	)	2,800

#### Springs

The principal discharge from springs is that from Fish Lake Spring and closely related springs extending northeast from Fish Lake Spring for about 2<sup>1</sup> miles. The average annual discharge from this area is believed to be about 4.000 acre-feet, or approximately 51 second-feet. Fish Lake Spring was estimated to be discharging 3 second-feet on December 1, 1949, on the basis of measurements of all the distributary channels about half a mile downstream from the main pool. Additional discharge from the spring area at the Old McNett Ranch, near the northeast corner of sec. 19, T. 1 S., R. 36 E., may average about 700 acre-feet a year. Thus, a total of about 4,700 acre-feet of water is discharged annually from the two spring areas into the area of transpiration and evaporation. As such, it is included in the estimate of discharge by transpiration and evaporation. According to report, several temporary springs of moderate discharge occur southwest of the spring area at the Old McNett Ranch. The flow of these springs is reported to be greater than the main spring at Old McNett Ranch, but the discharge occurs only during the spring of the year.

These springs may act as local overflow outlets when the water table is raised above a certain level. The rate and duration of discharge from such springs depends upon the amount of recharge in excess of that required to fill the ground-water reservoir to a certain level. This would tend to limit, in part, an estimate of the discharge from the ground-water reservoir based upon transpiration and evaporation. The discharge of such springs is believed to come at the time of excess surface runoff, and probably results in mixing of the water from the two sources. Thus, to determine the quantity discharged would be difficult. Whatever the amount of this possible "rejected" recharge, it could be salvaged under moderate to full development of the ground-water reservoir by lowering the water table to a point below the outlet level of the temporary springs.

The several springs in the Gap have a small aggregate discharge. The source of the water of these springs probably is related to faulting and localized recharge in the vicinity of the Gap. Sand Spring issues from near the contact of the bedrock and alluvial deposits in the northwestern part of the valley (SE<sup>1</sup><sub>4</sub>, T. 1 N., R. 34 E.). The spring area was partly developed many years ago. The water is spread over several acres but the principal use apparently is to water stock. When visited November 28, 1949, the discharge was 10 to 15 gallons per minute.

## POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

In this preliminary report, the amount of ground water that may be available for potential development can be based most reasonably upon the amount of water that was discharged from the ground-water reservoir in 1949-that is, upon the natural losses by transpiration from phreatophytes and by evaporation from soil and free-water surfaces. As the estimated groundwater discharge is based upon conditions during an extended "dry period." the estimate is considered to be conservative. It is recognized that under conditions of average precipitation for a long-time period, ground-water recharge and discharge would be balanced at a higher annual amount than the 1949 estimate of ground-water discharge. Rather than making an estimate, without reasonable basis, of the amount of ground water that may be developed under long-time average discharge conditions, it appears more reasonable to base an estimate of potential development upon the 1949 discharge estimate, and subsequently to reevaluate that figure upon an annual inventory of pumpage and an analysis of water-level fluctuations.

Accordingly, in this report the estimate for potential groundwater development by wells is based upon the estimate of groundwater discharge under existing conditions.

## Ground Water in Fish Lake Valley

Not all the natural losses from the ground-water reservoir can be recovered by withdrawals from wells. A relatively high recovery can be obtained by the strategic location of wells along the west side of the area of transpiration. Such wells would intercept a large fraction of the underflow from the principal source of recharge, precipitation in the White Mountains.

Included in the estimated annual discharge, about 30,000 acrefeet from the valley, is about 5,000 acre-feet discharged from Fish Lake and related springs and the springs and flowing well at the Old McNett Ranch, but not the discharge from pumped wells. Of the remaining 25,000 acre-feet, an additional development by wells of 15.000 to 20.000 acre-feet per year seems possible under present conditions. Available information is inadequate to indicate the specific amounts of ground water available for development by wells in different parts of the valley. However, much the greater part of the estimated 15,000 to 20,000 acre-feet can be obtained in the area north of T. 4 S. As the estimated 15,000 to 20,000 acre-feet is based upon the estimate of discharge in 1949, it may be assumed that this amount could be evaluated upward in accordance with the method used in evaluating longtime average runoff. On this basis, the long-time average for potential development would be 26,000 to 35,000 acre-feet.

The average annual discharge of Fish Lake and other related springs of the system cannot be materially increased by pumping, although redistribution of the total annual discharge could be made by pumping part of the year. Pumping water in excess of the average natural discharge would result in a below-average discharge during the nonpumping season. For the full year there would be little if any more water discharged by pumping than by the natural regimen of the spring. With continuous pumping it is not likely that a significant increase in average annual discharge could be maintained, as discharge of the spring system over a long period must be balanced by recharge. It would, however, tend to reduce seepage losses in the spring area by diverting water to the principal spring orifice.

## ARTIFICIAL RECHARGE

Artificial recharge or the practice of spreading water into artificial basins to induce maximum infiltration to the ground-water reservoir has been practiced successfully in many areas. There are favorable areas for artificial recharge in the higher parts of the alluvial fans in Fish Lake Valley. It is believed that relatively high rates of infiltration can be obtained with proper construction of spreading areas and control of applied water.

An example of artificial recharge was observed at the Oasis Here, part of the discharge of Cottonwood Creek is Ranch. diverted to a reservoir adjacent to the road turnoff toward Big Pine. When visited December 1, 1949, about 2 second-feet were entering the reservoir and there was no surface discharge from it, indicating that all the inflow was seeping underground. The reservoir was about 250 feet square but the water surface covered only a fraction of the reservoir area. The effect of this recharge to ground water was indicated by depth-to-water measurements in two wells, about 1,000 and 2,000 feet north of the reservoir. The depth to water in the well nearest the reservoir was about 19 feet below land surface, and that of the other well about 38 feet. The land surface slopes to the north about 10 feet in 1.000 feet, thus indicating a water-table gradient of about 30 feet in 1,000 feet. It would appear, therefore, that this artificial recharge developed a ground-water mound generally extending northward from the reservoir.

Artificial recharge on a large scale would be impractical in Fish Lake Valley until there was a relatively heavy annual draft on the ground-water reservoir. Such draft would lower the water table sufficiently to permit accommodation of the supplemental recharge. The amount of water available for artificial recharge would be limited to runoff subject, in part, to existing vested or permitted surface-water rights.

### CHEMICAL QUALITY

Samples of water collected during the current study from two springs and six wells have been analyzed in the Salt Lake City laboratory of the U. S. Geological Survey, Quality of Water Branch. The results are given in Table 12.

(Parts per million)								
Lab. No.1	3902	3906	3905	3903	3907	3908	3909	3904
Date of collection	Nov. 29, 1949	Nov. 29, 1949	Nov. 30, 1949	Nov. 30, 1949	Nov. 30, 1949	Nov. 30, 1949	Nov. 30, 1949	Dec. 1, 1949
Temperature (°F.)	$\begin{array}{c} 77\\ 112\\ & .17\\ & 9.6\\ 268\\ 614\\ 120\\ & 74\\ & .0\\ & .0\\ & 1.0\\ \end{array}$	69  150 21 31 4	$70 \\ 58 \\ 05 \\ 18 \\ 4.8 \\ 59 \\ 166 \\ 42 \\ 7 \\ .9 \\ .4 \\ .04$	55 29 91 21 330 34 5.1 330 34 5.1 30 34 5.1 30 2 9 2 9 1 21 21 30 4 30 34 30 34 30 34 30 34 30 34 30 34 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	51 32 94 18 2.3 340 25 3 	5144733415376354 $$	54.5 21 51 22 4.6 222 36 4 - 3.3	57 43 .08 89 36 26 466 35 4 2.2 .02
— ppm — tons per acre-foot Hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> —	940 1.28	250	<sup>272</sup> .37	361 .49	<sup>348</sup> .47	396 <sub>.54</sub>	251 .34	465.63
Total Noncarbonate Specific conductance	162 0		65 0	$\begin{array}{c} 314 \\ 43 \end{array}$	308	322 14	218 36	370 0
(micromhos at 25° C.) Percent sodium pH	$1,340 \\ 78 \\ 7.0$	358 	356 66 7.8 See following	574 3 7.6 page.	553 2 	623 9 	414	$\begin{array}{r}724\\13\\7.4\end{array}$

## TABLE 12

## Chemical analyses of water from six wells and two springs in Fish Lake Valley, Nevada and California (Analyses by Geological Survey, United States Department of the Interior)

#### TABLE 12-Continued

Description

3902......1S/36-19A3. NEž sec. 19, T. 1 S., R. 36 E. Owner, McNett, old ranch. Drilled, diameter 8 inches, measured depth 258 feet (reported 488 feet). Flow 195 gallons per minute, Nov. 29, 1949.

3906......1S/36-19A1. NEž sec. 19, T. 1 S., R. 36 E. Owner, McNett, old ranch. Spring 400 feet north of cottonwoods. Flow 250 gallons per minute, Nov. 29, 1949.

3905......2S/36-25C1. SW2 sec. 25, T. 2 S., R. 36 E. Fish Lake Spring. Flow 3 second-feet, Nov. 30, 1949.

3903......2S/35-33A1. NE1 sec. 33, T. 2 S., R. 35 E. Owner, E. L. Cord. Drilled, diameter 12 inches, depth 120 feet. Depth to water 63.67 feet below top of casing, Nov. 30, 1949. Pumping rate 200 gallons per minute.

3907......2S/35-33A2. NE1 sec. 33, T. 2 S., R. 35 E. Owner, E. L. Cord. Drilled, diameter 12 inches, depth 122 feet. Depth to water 44.58 feet below top of casing, Nov. 30, 1949. Pumping rate 110 gallons per minute.

3909......2S/35-34A1. NE1 sec. 34, T. 2 S., R. 35 E. Owner, E. L. Cord. Drilled, diameter 12 inches, depth 50 feet. Depth to water 10.50 feet below top of casing, Nov. 30, 1949. Pumping rate 2-3 gallons per minute.

3904......3S/35-26A2. NE1 sec. 26, T. 3 S., R. 35 E. Owner, Bar Double Nine Ranch. Drilled, diameter 16 inches, depth 125 feet. Depth to water reported 15 feet below land surface. Pumping rate 650 gallons per minute.

The U.S. Public Health Service has set the following recommended limits on certain chemical constituents commonly found in drinking water used on interstate carriers: 0.3 part per million for iron and manganese, 125 parts per million for magnesium, 250 parts per million each for sulfate and chloride, 1.5 parts per million for fluoride, and 1,000 parts per million for dissolved solids. On this basis, the water from all sampling points in Fish Lake Valley is satisfactory with the exception of that from the McNett flowing well. Water from this well has a high fluoride content and if used continuously would have a harmful effect on teeth of young children. It is possible too that a relatively high fluoride content would have been found in the water from the spring on the Old McNett Ranch if that water had been analyzed. However, it should be less than that of the nearby well. The waters analyzed were moderately to very hard, which would have a bearing on their use for domestic purposes.

Magistad and Christiansen<sup>5</sup> have given tentative standards for irrigation waters, but they indicate that consideration should be given to the characteristics of the type of soil and the soil solution in evaluating the effect of a given chemical composition of water on a given soil.

Lab. No.

= CWRI in BAII #85

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Magistad, O. C., and Christiansen, J. E., Saline soils, their nature and management: U. S. Dept. Agr. Circ. 707, pp. 8-9, 1944.

#### Standards for Irrigation Waters

Water class	Conductance (K x 10 <sup>5</sup> at 25° C.) <sup>1</sup>	Total (p. p. m.)	Total per acre- foot (tons)	Sodium (percent) <sup>2</sup>	Boron (p. p. m.)
Class 13	100	700	1	60	0.5
Class 24 Class 35	100-300 300	700-2,000 2,000	1-3	60-75 75	0.5-2.0 2.0

 $^{2}\mathrm{To}$  compare directly with conductance as shown in actual analyses multiply conductance shown in this table by 10.

<sup>2</sup>The percentage of sodium is calculated from the analytical results expressed in milligram equivalents. The results are obtained by dividing the parts per million of sodium, potassium, calcium, and magnesium by 23, 39, 20, and 12, respectively; then 100 times the milligram equivalents of sodium is divided by the sum of milligram equivalents of sodium, potassium, calcium, and magnesium. In milligram equiva-100 Na

lents, \_\_\_\_\_ = percentage of sodium.

Na + K + Ca + Mg

SExcellent to good, suitable for most plants under most conditions.

"Good to injurious, probably harmful to the most sensitive crops.

<sup>5</sup>Injurious to unsatisfactory, probably harmful to most crops and unsatisfactory to all but the most tolerant. If a water falls in Class 3 on any basis, i. e., conductance, salt content, percentage of sodium, or boron content, it should be classed as unsuitable under most conditions. Should the salts present be largely sulfates, the value for salt content in each class can be raised 50 percent.

On the basis of the analyses in Table 12, the waters sampled appear to be satisfactory for irrigation use according to the above standards for irrigation water, with the following exceptions: The water from the McNett flowing well is in Class 2 when classified according to specific conductance, salt content, and boron, and in Class 3 when classified according to percent sodium. The water from Fish Lake Spring is in Class 1 for all constituents analyzed except percent sodium for which it is in Class 2.

The other well-water analyses suggest that water suitable for irrigation can in general be obtained in the lower part of the alluvial apron in Tps. 1 to 3 S., R. 35 E.

The chemical character of the water from the McNett flowing well suggests that the water is supplied, at least in part, from sources related to volcanic activity.<sup>6</sup> Water from such sources commonly is relatively high in chloride, fluoride, and boron, compared to normal ground water. The lower, but still relatively high, chloride content indicated by the partial analysis for the spring on the Old McNett Ranch suggests that it may represent a mixing of water similar to that of the McNett flowing well with shallow ground water of considerably lower dissolved solids.

#### SUMMARY

The results of the preliminary study of ground-water resources in Fish Lake Valley suggest that under present conditions 15,000 to 20,000 acre-feet of water per year can be withdrawn by wells in addition to the amount pumped in 1949. This is based upon the estimated annual ground-water discharge of 30,000 acre-feet after a 3-year period of substantially deficient precipitation. The

<sup>&</sup>quot;White, D. E., oral communication.

estimate is believed to be conservative for long-time average conditions of recharge to and discharge from the ground-water reservoir. Revision of the preliminary estimate can be made best upon a quantitative basis using an inventory of annual pumpage and analysis of water-level fluctuations. However, it is believed that, excluding spring discharge, the long-time average annual amount of ground water available to wells is on the order of magnitude of 30,000 acre-feet under natural conditions of recharge. The collection of pertinent data upon which to base a possible revision of the preliminary estimate is a part of the future study.

The estimate of 15,000 to 20,000 acre-feet per year for potential development by wells is in addition to the discharge from Fish Lake and related springs, from the springs and flowing well at the Old McNett Ranch, and from wells that were pumped in 1949. The discharge from Fish Lake and related springs and from the springs and flowing well at the Old McNett Ranch was estimated to be about 5,000 acre-feet in 1949, and the discharge from the pumped wells in the valley was estimated to be 2,800 acre-feet in 1949.

Although the relative amounts of ground water available in the different parts of the valley cannot be evaluated at the present time because of insufficient information, the greater part of the ground water estimated to be potentially available to wells under present conditions can be obtained north of T. 4 S.

Artificial recharge with excess surface water should be practical by spreading water on the most permeable parts of the alluvial apron. However, this would be of little value until withdrawals by pumping was sufficient to lower the water level in the ground-water reservoir to a point where supplemental recharge could be accommodated.

The most favorable area for effective recovery of ground water by wells is on the lower slopes along the west side of the valley generally in the eastern part of R. 35 E. between the mid-part of T. 1 S. and T. 4 S. This area is between the principal area of recharge in the White Mountains and the principal area of discharge in the valley lowland. As such, it is favorably situated to intercept a large part of the annual recharge under full development by strategic spacing of wells and control of withdrawals.

On the basis of chemical analyses of ground water, the quality may be generally satisfactory beneath the lower parts of the alluvial apron from the mid-part of T. 1 S. to T. 3 S., inclusive. Ground water of relatively poor quality may be encountered in the vicinity of the Old McNett Ranch in the western part of T. 1 S., R. 36 E., and possibly in other parts of the valley lowland adjacent to the playa.